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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of physical disability among older adults is alarming. Just 3 yrs ago, population-based estimates indicated that over 16 million adults aged ≥ 65 reported some type of physical disability. The major chronic health conditions associated with these disabilities included: arthritis and rheumatism (17.5%), back or spine problems (10.5%), and heart trouble/hardening of the arteries (7.8%). Because older adults represent the fastest growing segment of the U.S. population, physical disability is clearly one of the most important challenges faced by public health in the new millennium.

In the past 20 yrs, there has been growing recognition that physical activity is an important health behavior to the process of physical disablement. For example, numerous randomized clinical trials have shown that physical activity can improve the physical functioning of older adults who are burdened by chronic disease such as arthritis, cardiovascular disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In fact, a reanalysis of data from the Longitudinal Study of Aging (participants aged ≥ 70) demonstrated that even low levels of physical activity (walking at least 1 mile·wk⁻¹) were prospectively related to slowing the 2-yr progression of functional limitations and disability (8).

Despite these positive signs, Keysor and Jette (4) suggest that we may have oversold the benefits of physical activity/exercise in late life. In their review of research published between 1985 and 2000, these authors concluded that exercise programs have been shown to have consistent, favorable effects on older adults’ strength, aerobic capacity, range of motion, and functional task performance (i.e., walking, balance, and chair rise). However, results from studies that have employed measures of physical disability (i.e., self-reported activities of daily living) are inconsistent and small to moderate in magnitude. Keysor and Jette (4) propose that improvement in physical disability may not follow directly from favorable changes in performance on functional tasks. One of their conclusions is that social cognitive variables and the physical environment may mediate the favorable effects that functional abilities have on disability. In short, physical activity alone may be insufficient in improving older adults’ perceptions of their level of function as it relates to activities of daily living. We are in total agreement with Keysor and Jette’s (4) position on this point (13). With this in mind, the goal of the current review is to provide a brief overview of one conceptual scheme of disability and to offer evidence from our laboratory that suggests physical activity programming for older adults should expand beyond traditional views of the exercise prescription.
REVISITING THE CONCEPTUALIZATION OF PHYSICAL DISABILITY

Saad Nagi (10) developed one of the most influential models of disability in gerontology and geriatric medicine. His conceptual scheme included four concepts that were characterized as occurring sequentially: active pathology, impairments, functional limitations, and disability (see Fig. 1). Although this model was adopted by the Institute of Medicine, there have been several important developments since this early work. First, Verbrugge and Jette (15) proposed that there are a number of internal and external factors, such as a sedentary or physically active lifestyle, that can speed up or slow down the development of disability. They coined the phrase disablement process model to describe the complete sociocultural scope of this problem (see Fig. 2). Second, Verbrugge and Jette (15) strongly reinforced Nagi’s view that disability is the result of interactions between people and their social/physical environments. And third, there is now evidence that impairments in strength and aerobic capacity can lead to functional limitations independent of active pathology (9).

Although emotional abnormalities were considered a form of impairment by Nagi (10) and disability was inextricably tied to the social setting, there is little discussion of how psychological factors may influence the development of either functional impairments or physical disability. Whereas in Verbrugge and Jette’s (15) disablement process model, psychological factors can either increase or decrease the rate at which disability progresses over time, these variables are external to the main pathway (see Fig. 2).

Our own research on physical activity and functional decline in older adults and contemporary theories of behavior (1) suggest that physical symptoms and self-efficacy beliefs should be conceptualized as a part of the main pathway to physical disability rather than external to it. To date, our primary focus has been on how these variables influence functional limitations. For example, in the Fitness and Arthritis in Seniors Trial (FAST), we found that knee pain and self-efficacy beliefs were independent predictors of performance for two different physical tasks: stair climbing and lifting/carrying a 10-lb object (11). Of particular interest in this study is the fact that the analyses controlled for cardiovascular fitness and knee strength—two impairments that appear to have direct effects on functional limitations. Our position is that knee pain may either have a direct effect on functional limitations or an indirect effect via self-efficacy (Fig. 3). In addition to the inclusion of pain and self-efficacy in the model, impairments are viewed as having effects on functional limitations that are, in part, independent of active pathology (e.g., sarcopenia that results from a sedentary lifestyle). The effects of impairments on functional limitations may also be direct or indirect.

Some readers may wonder why additional social cognitive constructs such as the incentive value of activities, outcome expectations, or social processes are not currently included in the revised model. Consistent with Nagi (10), we view functional task performance as reflections of abilities that are evaluated independent of the social context of behavior. In our research to date, we have not found consistent support for other social cognitive constructs as determinants of functional abilities. Of course this does not mean that the incentive value of activities or some such construct should not be considered by other researchers. More importantly, when functional limitations of a physical nature are placed in a social context, they then become disabilities. Conceptually, one would expect social cognitive theory to be related to disability in a more complex manner than functional limitations that are often assessed in controlled environments at a single point in time.

INTEGRATING GROUP/INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING WITH PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Positioning physical symptoms and self-efficacy in the main pathway to physical disability has important implications for the promotion of physical activity among older adults. For example, when considering various health outcomes, current recommendations for an appropriate dose of physical activity have been conceived under the rubric of a passive stimulus-response paradigm. In contrast, participants’ tolerance for a given dose of physical activity is shaped by social as well as cognitive and affective responses that are either directly experienced or perceived to be related to a given activity prescription. For example, many older adults who may be the targets of physical activity campaigns have one or more chronic medical conditions. Is it surprising that these individuals would have reservations about physical activity when, in the short term, they either anticipate or are directly experiencing greater fatigue or an increase in pain and stiffness with activity? In the case of physical disability, what guarantees that older adults will transfer the abilities that they may acquire through physical activity to their daily lives? As indicated by Keysor and Jette (4), social-cognitive variables and the physical environment may mediate the
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**Figure 1.** Nagi’s (1965) model of physical disability.
favorable effects that functional abilities have on disability. Indeed, it is well known that self-efficacy beliefs are behavior specific. To maximize the effect that physical activity interventions have on perceptions of physical disability, it is necessary to target these outcomes—together with the social cognitive variables and environments that are integral to such outcomes—as part of the behavior change process.

Over the past several years, a number of investigations focusing on the promotion of physical activity among older adults have relied on various cognitive and behavioral strategies as a means of enhancing adherence (5). Typically, these interventions involve self-regulatory skills training in areas such as goal setting, self-monitoring, and self-reinforcement of activity behavior. Data from our own laboratory suggest that there is good reason to expand this line of research. For example, as part of a recent randomized clinical trial on older adults with knee osteoarthritis, we examined the effects of exposure to acute bouts of physical activity on knee pain, employing an experience sampling methodology (3). As shown in Figure 4, irrespective of participants' baseline level of chronic knee pain intensity, pain was higher immediately after physical activity (see bars on graph) when compared with pain reported at a similar time on a nonexercise day (see lines on graph). However, given that pain responses before and in the hours after exercise did not differ from pain reported at similar times on nonexercise days, the perturbation in pain symptoms was short lived. Interestingly, chronic exercise training in this population has been found to lower the overall intensity of reported knee pain. Thus, integrating interventions on the management of pain that may occur with acute bouts of physical activity is important in this population to encourage adherence to an active lifestyle.

In another publication (14), we demonstrated that self-efficacy beliefs related to the performance of various physical tasks are prospectively tied to functional decline in older adults who have chronic knee pain—a sizable proportion of the older adult population. Moreover, a significant interaction between strength and self-efficacy revealed that self-efficacy beliefs were most important among older adults challenged by muscular weakness in the lower extremities. Of even greater significance are data from the FAST trial demonstrating that changes in self-efficacy and knee pain act as potential mediators of improvements in functional performance observed after exercise training (12). Specifically, once changes in self-efficacy and knee pain were included in the statistical model, the effects of exercise therapy on func-
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of activity. Results from a recent investigation testing the
efficacy of this intervention demonstrated that the group-
mediated counseling program led to a higher frequency of
participation in moderate physical activity when contrasted
with a traditional exercise program (2). In light of this
promising finding, further study of this approach among older
adults burdened with chronic disease is warranted.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Positioning physical symptoms and self-efficacy in the
main pathway of the disablement process and underscor-
the social-environmental context of physical disability has
important implications for the prescription of physical activ-
ity among older adults. First, it is inappropriate to follow a
passive stimulus-response paradigm in attempting to under-
stand older adults willingness to initiate or maintain an
active lifestyle. For example, there is little wisdom in com-
unicating a single recommendation about the appropriate
dose of physical activity for this population, e.g., 30 min of
moderate physical activity on most days of the week. Due to
chronic disease and the compromised function that fre-
quently exists among many older adults, flexibility in pro-
gramming becomes the rule rather than an exception. We
find that it is best to negotiate physical activity prescrip-
tions, shaping the desired behavior over an extended period of
time. Indeed physical activity programs for older adults need
to actively address a variety of beliefs, affective responses, and
physical symptoms that may exist before initiating physical
activity or evolve in the process of adopting and/or main-
taining a physically active lifestyle.

Second, when integrated with physical activity, group and
individual counseling can be directed specifically at activity
or can address alternative, but related targets. As an example
of activity counseling, a common strategy is to use self-
regulatory skills training to facilitate adherence. In our group-
mediated cognitive behavior intervention (2), a primary ob-
jective is to encourage older adults to integrate physical
activity into their daily lives, to see themselves as people who
are “active” and “independent”, and to feel emotionally
charged about this transformation. However, in particular
populations or for specific individuals in broader groups,
there is often a need to address physical symptoms that
accompany physical activity, e.g., how to cope with pain,
shortness of breath, or fatigue.

Third, if we expect the fitness benefits of physical activity
programs to carry over to activities of daily living—to offset
the transition to disability—it is important to develop pro-
grams similar to our current work with older adults who have
or are at risk for chronic disease. Participants need to engage
in activity challenges that demonstrate to them their capac-
ities (self-efficacy) for a variety of social-role–related behav-
or. This can be accomplished as a part of a formal physical
activity program and can be augmented with homework
assignments (2).

There are many recommendations for research in this
important area and it is useful to frame research questions
around three levels of analysis: downstream, midstream, and
upstream (7). Downstream (individual interventions) studies
are needed to clarify what the key mediating variables are in
a) older adults’ motivation to initiate/maintain physically
active lifestyles, and b) empowering older adults perceptions'
of physical function in day-to-day living. As more is learned
about these intervening variables, researchers can begin to
conduct very specific studies on how to effect change in these
areas. To date, there is very little research on innovative
methods to enhance self-efficacy or the value of physical
functioning in older adults; yet, the importance of these two
constructs in behavior change is undeniable (6). In addition,
more research is needed on interventions that could be

![Figure 4. Pain responses throughout the day (lines) and in response to acute exercise (bars) among individuals with high, average, or low levels of baseline knee pain intensity as measured by the Knee Pain Scale (KPS).](image)
integrated with physical activity to help older adults cope with physical symptoms such as pain, fatigue, or dyspnea.

Midstream studies are needed to identify and intervene with organizational channels such as churches, senior centers, and assisted living facilities. One of the most potentially influential midstream targets is geriatric medicine, a medium that offers the opportunity to reach entire populations. In essence, primary care for older adults could implement screening for functional decline and provide interventions when needed. The national success of cardiac rehabilitation provides evidence that such programming could substantially affect functional decline and health outcomes that are linked to the disablement process.

Finally, upstream studies are needed to address public policies that concern physical activity and functioning in older adults. There is no doubt that major determinants of physical activity and disability include the physical environment and the social fabric of our society. What is perceived as “possible” is shaped, in part, by policies that define accepted rules of conduct for industry, business, and local communities. What is defined as “desirable” is shaped, in part, by mass media messaging. Moreover, national policies concerning health contribute in a major way to the practice of medicine, to the advice that is passed from geriatrician to patient. Ultimately, our success in making physical activity an effective intervention for physical disability will require linking upstream, midstream, and downstream interventions that are anchored in the complex determinants of this health threat. Although this is a formidable challenge, the prevalence of physical disability in an aging America is too widespread to be ignored.
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